UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,618	05/25/2005	Andreas Bergmann	2582.020	7130
Kathy Smith Di	7590 09/18/2007	EXA	EXAMINER	
HESLIN ROTHENBERG FARLEY & MESITI P.C. 5 Columbia Circle Albany, NY 12203-5160			ROONEY, NORA MAUREEN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	<u> </u>
			<u> </u>	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	09/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
· ·	10/516,618	BERGMANN, ANDREAS	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nora M. Rooney	1644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	*		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 E</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See the cition is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive uu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 1644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's amendment filed on 12/03/2004 is acknowledged.
- 2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.
- 3. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.
- 4. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, Claims 1-9, drawn to a method for the early diagnosis and diagnosis, for the prognosis and the assessment of the severity and for the therapy-accompanying assessment of the course of sepsis and sepsis-like systemic infections and for the estimation of the risk of a sepsis risk patient through the formation of a sepsis, characterized in that the presence and/or amount of anti-GM1 antibodies and antibodies cross-reacting therewith in a biological fluid of a patient or sepsis risk patient are determined and conclusions are drawn from the presence and/or amount thereof with regard to the presence, the expected course, the severity or the success of a therapy of the inflammatory disease or sepsis or with regard to the risk of a sepsis risk patient.

Art Unit: 1644

Group II, Claims 10-11, drawn to a method for the quality control of donor blood for medical purposes, in which the presence and/or amount of anti-GM1 antibodies and antibodies cross-reacting therewith, in particular anti-GM1 antibodies, are determined in a sample of the donor blood and, in the case of positive detection of such antibodies, the donor blood is rejected or is subjected to an affinity purification for removing the antibodies determined and is administered to a patient only after a subsequent further antibody determination with a negative result.

Group III, Claims 12-13, drawn to a method for discovering and for detecting individual substances or constituents of mixtures of substances, which have structural properties which simulate ganglioside structures, in which individual substances or mixtures of substances to be investigated are tested in an assay system which is based on the binding of anti-ganglioside antibodies to a specific binder and the detection of bound antibodies, a competitive reduction of the antibody binding to the specific binder in the presence of the substance to be investigated being regarded as an indication of antibody-blocking properties of the substance or a potential risk of the substance owing to an antigen effect with initiation of the production of anti-GM1 antibody or antibodies cross-reacting therewith in humans.

5. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1644

The invention of Group II was found to have no special technical feature that defined the contribution over the prior art of WO 02 17770 (IDS filed on 05/28/2005, Reference FR).

WO 02/17770 teaches a method for screening blood for medical purposes comprising detecting anti-GM1 antibodies. The reference also teaches refusing blood donor samples based on medical history or other relevant information in addition to screening patients and excluding donor samples based upon biochemical, genomic and proteomic information (In particular, abstract, page 33, line 11, page 12, lines 16-19 and page 21, lines 13-21, whole document).

Since Applicant's inventions do not contribute a special technical feature when viewed over the prior art they do not have a single general inventive concept and so lack unity of invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

Art Unit: 1644

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are

readable upon the elected invention.

6. Irrespective of whichever group applicant may elect, applicant is further required under

35 U.S.C. 121: (1) to elect a single disclosed species to which claims would be restricted if no

generic claim is finally held to be allowable and (2) to list all claims readable thereon including

those subsequently added.

If Group I is elected, applicant is further required to elect:

a single specific method preamble as recited in claim 1;

a single antibody isotype as recited in claim 2;

a single biological fluid as recited in claim 3;

a single specific binding assay as recited in claim 4;

a single specific further parameter or parameters for measurement in a single specific

method as recited in claim 7; and

a single specific determination means as recited in claim 8.

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the

mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious

variants of each other based on the current record.

Page 5

Page 6

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to

Art Unit: 1644

petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nora M. Rooney whose telephone number is (571) 272-9937. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm. A

Art Unit: 1644

message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by

telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571)

272-0841. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 12, 2007

Nora M. Rooney, M.S., J.D.

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

When M. Haddad
MAHER M. HADDAD

Page 8